

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/619,718	HOENIG ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Kishor Mayekar	1753

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Kishor Mayekar.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Hilmar Fricke.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 11 September 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 4 and 8-10

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: to amend claims 1 , 4 and 8 by reciting that the cyclic imide is an additive to the cathodic electrodeposition composition, to amend claims 4 and 8 by reciting that the step of applying is by cathodic electrodeposition, and to cancel non-elected claims 9 and 10 by an examiner's amendment..